

TRANSMITTAL LETTER (General - Patent Pending)

Docket No. BUR9-2001-0105-US1

MRe Appreation Of	Radens et al.
-------------------	---------------

5	36	eri	al	Ν	lo	•

Filing Date

Examiner

Group Art Unit

10/063,376

04/17/2002

Loke, Steven Ho Yin

2811

MOS ANTIFUSE WITH LOW POST-PROGRAM RESISTANCE

TO THE ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS:

Transmitted herewith is:

Species Election and Preliminary Amendment

09-0456(IBM)

in the above identified application.

- No additional fee is required. \square
- A check in the amount of

is attached.

- The Assistant Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge and credit Deposit Account No. \boxtimes

 - as described below. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.
 - Charge the amount of
 - \boxtimes Credit any overpayment.
 - Charge any additional fee required.

Jack P. Fredma

Dated: 1/31/2003

Jack P. Friedman Reg. No. 44,688 Schmeiser, Olsen & Watts 3 Lear Jet Lane, Suite 201 Latham, NY 12110 (518) 220-1850

I certify that this document and fee is being deposited on 1/31/2003 with the U.S. Postal Service as

first class mail under 37 C.F.R. 1.8 and is addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C.

20231.

Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence

Kim Dwileski

Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence

Docket No. BUR9-2001-0105-US1

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

plicant: Radens et al.

Group Art Unit: 2811

Filed: 04172002

Examiner: Loke, Steven Ho Yin

Serial No.: 10/063,376

Title: MOS ANTIFUSE WITH LOW POST-PROGRAM RESISTANCE

Commissioner for Patents Washington D.C. 20231

Sir:

SPECIES ELECTION PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

This paper is being filed in response to the Restriction mailed January 13, 2003, and includes a Preliminary Amendment.

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION WITH SPECIES ELECTION

In response to the species restriction requirement, Applicants hereby provisionally elect the Species (4): Figures 5 and 5A, with traverse. It is respectfully submitted that the search and examination of the species of the entire application could be made without serious burden. See MPEP §803, in which it is stated that "if the search and examination of the entire application can be made without serious burden, the Examiner must examine it on the merits" (emphasis added). It is respectfully submitted that this policy should apply to the present application in order to avoid

10/063,376

1